

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 9350

NAME: Quercus macrolepis forests

## 1. National Level

### 1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.2 Distribution Method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2006-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range Map	Yes

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

### 2.2 Published

#### Mediterranean (MED)

Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54.

Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210.

Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176.

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.

Αθανασιάδης Ν.Η. & Γερασιμίδης Α.Μ. 1985. Δασικό Οικοσύστημα Στροφιλιά ΒΔ . Πελοποννήσου και Φυτοκοινωνιολογικές του μονάδες. Επιστ. Επετ. Τμημ. Δασολογίας κ Φ.Π. ΚΗ: 247-263.

Barbero M. & Quézel P. 1980. La végétation forestière de Crète. *Ecologia Mediterranea* 5: 175-210.

Γεωργιάδης Θ., Δημητρέλλος Γ., Δημόπουλος Π. & Βασιλάκης Κ. 1994. Μελέτη της οικολογικής κατάστασης της περιβάλλουσας βλάστησης στα πλαίσια της διαχείρισης και προστασίας του Δέλτα του Αχέροντα. Πρακτικά 5ου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρίας, Δελφοί, 21-23 Οκτωβρίου 1994: 111-115.

Δεληπέτρου Π., Οικονομίδου Ε. & Τσιουρλής Γ. 1996. Η βιοποικιλότητα των νησιών του Αιγαίου όπως εκφράζεται από τους οικοτόπους και τα είδη της χλωρίδας που προστατεύονται από την οδηγία 92/43/ΕΟΚ στις προτεινόμενες περιοχές του δικτύου "Φύση 2000". Πρακτικά 6ου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρίας και της Βιολογικής Εταιρείας Κύπρου, Παραλίμνι Κύπρου, 6-

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

11 Απριλίου 1996: 74-78.

Μπαζός Ι. & Γιαννίτσαρος Α. 2005. Χλωρίδα και βλάστηση της Λέσβου: γενική επισκόπηση. Πρακτικά 10ου Πανελληνίου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρείας, Ιωάννινα, 5-8 Μαΐου 2005, σελ. 8 (σε CD).

Pantera A., Papadopoulos A., Fotiadis G. & Papanastasis V. 2009. Distribution and phytogeographical analysis of *Quercus ithaburensis* ssp. *macrolepis* in Greece. *Ecologia Mediterranea* 34: 73-82.

Παντέρα Α., Φωτιάδης Γ. & Παπαδόπουλος Α. 2006. Διερεύνηση της βλάστησης υπολειμματικών δασών βαλανιδιάς (*Quercus ithaburensis* ssp. *macrolepis*) στην περιοχή Αλεξανδρούπολης. *Επιστ. Επετ. Τμημ. Δασολογίας & Φυσικού Περιβάλλοντος ΜΔ*: 463-475.

Πλατής Π. 2006. Οικότοπος της βαλανιδιάς και κτηνοτροφία στα Ακαρνανικά όρη. Πρακτικά 5ου Πανελληνίου Λιβαδοπονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Λιβαδοπονικής Εταιρείας, Ηράκλειο Κρήτης, 1-3 Νοεμβρίου 2006: 233-238.

Πλατής Π., Παπαχρήστου Θ., Μελιάδης Ι. & Μαντζανάς Κ. 2007. Ποικιλότητα τύπων οικοτόπων της περιοχής Ακαρνανικών ορέων του Δικτύου "Φύση 2000". Πρακτικά 13ου Πανελληνίου Δασολογικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Δασολογικής Εταιρείας, Χλόη Καστοριάς, 7-10 Οκτωβρίου 2007 (τόμος Ι): 116-124.

Theocharopoulos M. & Georgiadis Th. 1984. Contribution a l'etude de la vegetation de l'Attique orientale (NEA MAKRI) en Grece (Prise en compte des impacts urbains et touristiques). *Ecologia Mediterranea* X(3-4): 133-157.

## 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km <sup>2</sup> )	2251
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km <sup>2</sup> ) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

## 2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km <sup>2</sup> )	296,3
2.4.2 Year or period	2000-2012
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min max

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.8 Long-term trend period		
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A	
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A	
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator	approximately equal to ( $\approx$ )
	unknown	No
	method	
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method	

## 2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
grazing (A04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
livestock farming and animal breeding (without grazing) (A05)	low importance (L)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	low importance (L)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

## 2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
grazing (A04)	low importance (L)	N/A
livestock farming and animal breeding (without grazing) (A05)	low importance (L)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

## 2.7 Complementary Information

### 2.7.1 Species

Anagyris foetida

Asparagus acutifolius

Brachypodium retusum

Cistus creticus

Cistus salviifolius

Dactylis glomerata

Osyris alba

Phlomis fruticosa

Piptatherum miliaceum

Pistacia lentiscus

Pistacia terebinthus

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Pyrus spinosa

Quercus coccifera

Quercus ithaburensis ssp. Macrolepis

Quercus pubescens

Rhamnus alaternus

Rosa sempervirens

Rumex tuberosus

Styrax officinalis

## 2.7.2 Species method used

Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013).

### References

Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukat, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13: 79–90.

Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: *Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist*. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. *Englera* 31: 1-367.

Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 20: 233-240.

## 2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

## 2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

## 2.7.5 Other relevant information

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

## 2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

### 2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)  
qualifiers N/A

### 2.8.2 Area

assessment Favourable (FV)  
qualifiers N/A

### 2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)

assessment Favourable (FV)  
qualifiers N/A

### 2.8.4 Future prospects

assessment Favourable (FV)  
qualifiers N/A

### 2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Favourable (FV)

### 2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

N/A

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage \_conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

### 3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km<sup>2</sup>)

min 100,9 max 100,9

3.1.2 Method used

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

3.1.3. Trend of surface area

stable (0)

### 3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure

3.2.2 Type

3.2.3 Ranking

3.2.4 Location

3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)

Legal  
Administrative  
One-off

medium  
importance (M)

Inside

Maintain  
Long term