

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 9250

NAME: Quercus trojana woods

## 1. National Level

### 1.1 Maps

- 1.1.1 Distribution Map
- 1.1.2 Distribution Method
- 1.1.3 Year or period
- 1.1.4 Additional map
- 1.1.5 Range Map

Yes
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2006-2012
No
Yes

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

### Mediterranean (MED)

Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54.

Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210.

Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogiani A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176.

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.

Βραχνάκης Μ., Φωτιάδης Γ. & Καζόγλου Ι. 2011. Τύποι Οικοτόπων Εθνικού Πάρκου Πρεσπών – Αναγνώριση-Καταγραφή 2011. Εταιρία Προστασίας Πρεσπών, σελ . 101.

Γερασιμίδης Α. 2003. Φυτοκοινωνιολογική έρευνα σε δάση *Quercus trojana* Webb της Βόρειας Ελλάδας. Γεωτεχνικά Επιστημονικά Θέματα 14(2): 22-33.

Dimopoulos P., Bergmeier E., Theodoropoulos K. & Eleftheriadou E. 2005. Thermophilous deciduous forests in Greece – a preliminary survey. Bot. Chron. 18(1): 83-100.

Gamisans J. & Hebrard J.-P. 1979. A propos de la vegetation des forets d' Epire et de Macedoine Grecque occidentale. Documents phytosociologiques IV: 290-327.

Πυρινή ΧΒ. Χ. 2011. Το οικοσύστημα των λιμνών Βεγορίτιδας και Πετρών: χλωρίδ α, βλάστηση και φυτογεωγραφία. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. ΑΠΘ, σελ. 332 + Παράρτημα.

Tsaliki M., Bergmeier E. & Dimopoulos P. 2005. Vegetation patterns and plant diversity in mixed oak woodlands in the region of Bourazani, Epirus (NW Greece).

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Bot. Chron. 18(1): 225-251.

Χοχλιούρος Π.Σ. 2005. Χλωριδική και Φυτοκοινωνιολογική Έρευνα του Όρους Βερμίου - Οικολογική προσέγγιση. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 352 + 3 Παραρτήματα.

## 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km <sup>2</sup> )	901	
2.3.2 Range method used		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period		2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	N/A	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	min	max
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	area (km <sup>2</sup> )	approximately equal to (≈)
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	operator unknown method	No
2.3.10 Reason for change		Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

## 2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km <sup>2</sup> )	189,6	
2.4.2 Year or period	2000-2012	
2.4.3 Method used		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.4 Short-term trend period		2001-2012
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.4.7 Short term trend method used		Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.8 Long-term trend period	N/A	
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	min	max
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	N/A	
2.4.11 Long term trend method used		
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km)	
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)
	unknown	No
	method	
2.4.13 Reason for change		Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

## 2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	low importance (L)	N/A

## 2.5.1 Method used – pressures

mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

## 2.6 Main Threats

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	low importance (L)	N/A

## 2.6.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

## 2.7 Complementary Information

### 2.7.1 Species

*Acer monspessulanum*

*Asparagus acutifolius; purpurea*

*Brachypodium sylvaticum*

*Carpinus orientalis*

*Dictamnus albus*

*Dorycnium herbaceum* (syn: *Dorycnium pentaphyllum*)

*Fraxinus ornus*

*Galium mollugo agg.*

*Juniperus oxycedrus*

*Onobrychis alba*

*Ononis pusilla*

*Ostrya carpinifolia*

*Phlomis samia*

*Physospermum cornubiense*

*Pistacia terebinthus*

*Quercus pubescens*

*Quercus trojana*

*Ruscus aculeatus*

*Silene italica*

*Silene latifolia*

*Stachys scardica*

*Teucrium capitatum*

*Teucrium chamaedrys*

*Veronica chamaedrys*

### 2.7.2 Species method used

Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013).

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

## References

- Chytrý , M., Tichý , L., Holt, J. & Botta-Duká t, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13: 79–90.
- Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. *Englera* 31: 1-367.
- Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 20: 233-240.

## 2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

## 2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

## 2.7.5 Other relevant information

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

## 2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

### 2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

### 2.8.2 Area

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

### 2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

### 2.8.4 Future prospects

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

### 2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Favourable (FV)

### 2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

N/A

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage \_conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

### 3.1 Area covered by habitat

#### 3.1.1 Surface area (km<sup>2</sup>)

min 62,9 max 62,9

#### 3.1.2 Method used

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

#### 3.1.3. Trend of surface area

stable (0)

### 3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain Long term