

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 91E0

NAME: Alluvial forests with *Alnus glutinosa* and *Fraxinus excelsior* (Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae)

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map

Yes

1.1.2 Distribution Method

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

1.1.3 Year or period

2006-2012

1.1.4 Additional map

No

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published

Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54.

Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210.

Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogrianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176.

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.

Αθανασιάδης Ν.Η., Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ.Γ. & Ελευθεριάδου Ε.Ν. 1992. *Alnetum incanae* Aich et Siegr. 30 (*Alnetum incanae* typicum WE.-Z. 52) στο δυτικό Ελληνικό ό τμήμα της οροσειράς της Ροδόπης. Επιστ. Επετ. Τμημ. Δασολογίας & Φυσικού Περιβάλλοντος ΛΕ(1): 151-178.

Αθανασιάδης Ν., Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ., Ελευθεριάδου Ε. & Δρόσος Ε. 1996. Δασικές φυτοκοινωνίες του δέλτα του Θεσσαλικού Πηνειού. Επιστ. Επετ. Τμημ. Δασολογίας & Φυσικού Περιβάλλοντος 39(2): 879-902.

Βραχνάκης Μ., Φωτιάδης Γ. & Καζόγλου Ι. 2011. Τύποι Οικοτόπων Εθνικού Πάρκου Πρεσπών – Αναγνώριση-Καταγραφή 2011. Εταιρία Προστασίας Πρεσπών, σελ. 101.

Ελευθεριάδου Ε., Τσιριπίδης Ι., Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ. & Ξυστράκης Φ. 2007. Τύποι οικοτόπων της περιοχής "Ροδόπη (Σημάδα)" του Δικτύου "Φύση 2000". Πρακτικά 13ου Πανελληνίου Δασολογικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Δασολογικής Εταιρείας, Χλόη Καστοριάς, 7-10 Οκτωβρίου 2007 (τόμος Ι): 91-99.

Fotiadis G., Panajiotidis S. & Gerasimidis A. 2005. Phytosociological approach on

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

the forest vegetation of Mt Verno (W Macedonia, Greece). Bot. Chron. 18(1): 101-116.

Παναγιωτίδης Σ. & Φωτιάδης Γ. 2001. Μονάδες βλάστησης κατάλοιπων παρόχθιων δασών της κεντρικής Μακεδονίας. Επιστ. Επετ. Τμημ. Δασολογίας & Φυσικού Περιβάλλοντος ΜΔ: 477-488.

Petermann J. 1999. Winterkahle Eichenwalder im Westen der griechischen Rhodopen. Vegetation, Struktur und Dynamik. Münster, pg. 152.

Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ. 2001. Ζώνες βλάστησης και τύποι οικοτόπων του νομού Θεσσαλονίκης. Επιστ. Επετ. Τμημ. Δασολογίας & Φυσικού Περιβάλλοντος ΜΔ: 353-381.

Φωτιάδης Γ. 2004. Καθορισμός των δασικών φυτοκοινωνιολογικών μονάδων του Ελληνικού τμήματος του όρους Μπέλες και της οροσειράς των Κρουσίων. Διδακτορική Διατριβή, ΑΠΘ, σελ. 273 + Παράρτημα.

Zoller H., Geissler P. & Athanasiadis N. 1977. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Wälder, Moos- und Flechtenassoziationen in den Gebirgen Nordgriechenlands. Bauhinia 6/1: 215-255.

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	694
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km ²)	84,9
2.4.2 Year or period	2000-2012
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.8 Long-term trend period	
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	low importance (L)	N/A
grazing (A04)	low importance (L)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest planting on open ground (B01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Forestry activities not referred to above (B07)	low importance (L)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Soil pollution and solid waste (excluding discharges) (H05)	low importance (L)	N/A
Other forms of pollution (H07)	low importance (L)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Cultivation (A01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures

mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	low importance (L)	N/A
grazing (A04)	low importance (L)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Cultivation (A01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.7 Complementary Information

2.7.1 Species

Aegopodium podagraria

Alliaria petiolata

Alnus glutinosa

Alnus incana

Angelica sylvestris

Arum italicum

Brachypodium sylvaticum

Carex remota

Chaerophyllum temulum

Circaea lutetiana

Clematis vitalba

Elymus caninus

Equisetum arvense

Euonymus europaeus

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Festuca gigantea

Fraxinus angustifolia

Geranium robertianum

Humulus lupulus

Juglans regia

Lycopus europaeus

Platanus orientalis

Poa trivialis

Rubus caesius

Sambucus nigra

Stachys sylvatica

Ulmus minor

Urtica dioica

2.7.2 Species method used

Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013).

References

Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukát, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13: 79–90.

Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. *Englera* 31: 1-367.

Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 20: 233-240.

2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

2.7.5 Other relevant information

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage _conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)	min 9max 9
3.1.2 Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	stable (0)

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	low importance (L)	Inside	Maintain Long term
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Long term