## Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 9140

NAME: Medio-European subalpine beech woods with Acer and Rumex arifolius

## 1. National Level

#### **1.1 Maps**

1.1.1 Distribution Map

1.1.2 Distribution Method

1.1.3 Year or period

1.1.4 Additional map

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2006-2012

No

Yes

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

## Mediterranean (MED)

Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54. Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210.

Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176.

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.

### 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)

2.3.2 Range method used

2.3.3 Short-term trend period

2.3.4 Short-term trend direction

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude

2.3.9 Favourable reference range

976

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2001-2012 stable (0)

min max

N/A

min max

area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (≈)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

matrice types (rumex 2)			
2.4 Area covered by Habitat			
<ul> <li>2.4.1 Surface area (km²)</li> <li>2.4.2 Year or period</li> <li>2.4.3 Method used</li> <li>2.4.4 Short-term trend period</li> <li>2.4.5 Short-term trend direction</li> <li>2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude</li> <li>2.4.7 Short term trend method used</li> </ul>	179,9 2000-2012 Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2) 2001-2012 stable (0) min max Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
<ul><li>2.4.8 Long-term trend period</li><li>2.4.9 Long-term trend direction</li><li>2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude</li><li>2.4.11 Long term trend method used</li></ul>	N/A min N/A	max	
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator approxi unknown No method	mately equal to (≈)	
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledg	e/more accurate data Use	of different method
2.5 Main Pressures			
Pressure		ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)		low importance (L)	N/A
grazing in forests/ woodland (B06)		low importance (L)	N/A
Changes in biotic conditions (M02)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.5.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on exp	pert judgement and other d	ata (2)
2.6 Main Threats			
Threat	(000)	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)		low importance (L)	N/A
grazing in forests/ woodland (B06)		low importance (L)	N/A
Changes in biotic conditions (M02)		low importance (L)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – threats  2.7 Complementary Information	expert opinion (1)		
2.7.1 Species			
Acer pseudoplatanus			
Adenostyles alliariae			

Aremonia agrimonoides

Doronicum columnae
Epilobium montanum
Euphorbia amygdaloides;

Fagus sylvatica

Lactuca alpina (syn: Cicerbita alpina)

## Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Galium odoratum

Geranium sylvaticum

Galeobdolon montanum (syn: Lamium galeobdolon s.l.)

Orthilia secunda

Poa nemoralis

Ranunculus platanifolius

Rosa pendulina

Rumex arifolius

Senecio nemorensis agg.

Sorbus aucuparia

Symphytum tuberosum

Telekia speciosa

Thalictrum aquilegifolium

Veratrum lobelianum (syn:Veratrum album)

### 2.7.2 Species method used

Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013). References

Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukát, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. Journal of Vegetation Science 13: 79-90.

Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., latrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. - Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. Englera 31: 1-367. Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. Journal of Vegetation Science 20: 233-240.

2.7.3 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions methods used

2.7.5 Other relevant information

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

## 2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range

2.8.2 Area

assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A

## Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)

2.8.4 Future prospects

2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

Favourable (FV)

N/A

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage \_conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

## 3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²) min 4,8 max 4,8

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

3.1.3. Trend of surface area stable (0)

### **3.2 Conversation Measures**

3.2.1 Measure 3.2.2 Type 3.2.3 Ranking 3.2.4 Location 3.2.5 Broad Evaluation

Establish protected Legal low importance Inside Maintain Long term

One-off