

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 5230

NAME: Arborescent matorral with *Laurus nobilis*

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map

Yes

1.1.2 Distribution Method

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

1.1.3 Year or period

2006-2012

1.1.4 Additional map

No

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Mediterranean (MED)

2.2 Published

Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54.

Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210.

Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogrianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176.

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.

Κοράκης Γ. & Αραβίδης Η. 2004. Καταγραφή, ταξινόμηση και αξιολόγηση των φυτικών ενδιαιτημάτων του Λακωνικού Ταυγέτου σύμφωνα με την οδηγία 92/43/ΕΟΚ. Πρακτικά 1ου Πανελληνίου Περιβαλλοντικού Συνεδρίου, Νέα Ορεστιάδα, 7-9 Μαΐου 2004: 891-900.

Μαρούλης Γ. 2003. Χλωρίδα και βλάστηση των οικοσυστημάτων του όρους Ερύμανθος (ΒΔ Πελοπόννησος). Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 450 + 1 Παράρτημα + 1 Χάρτης.

Πανίτσα Μ., Ζώτος Α., Αγκαντίτης Ν., Ζήκος Α., Bergmeier E. & Δημόπουλος Π. 2006. Οικοτοπική διαφοροποίηση και εδαφικές συνθήκες στην προστατευόμενη περιοχή των Τζουμέρκων. Πρακτικά του 3ου Επιστημονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Οικολογικής Εταιρείας και της Ελληνικής Ζωολογικής Εταιρείας, Ιωάννινα, 16-19 Νοεμβρίου 2006: 254-262.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	712
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km ²)	155,88
2.4.2 Year or period	2000-2012
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.8 Long-term trend period	
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures	mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)
-------------------------------	---

2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	low importance (L)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.6.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.7 Complementary Information

2.7.1 Species

Arbutus unedo

Fraxinus ornus

Laurus nobilis

Myrtus communis

Phillyrea latifolia

Quercus ilex

Rubia peregrina

Smilax aspera

Styrax officinalis

2.7.2 Species method used

Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013).ReferencesChytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukát, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. Journal of Vegetation Science 13: 79–90.Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. Englera 31: 1-367.Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. Journal of Vegetation Science 20: 233-240.

2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2.7.5 Other relevant information

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage _conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km ²)	min	max
3.1.2 Method used	Absent data (0)	
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	unknown (x)	

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Long term Unknown
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain Long term Unknown