

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 4090

NAME: Endemic oro-Mediterranean heaths with gorse

## 1. National Level

### 1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.2 Distribution Method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2006-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range Map	Yes

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

### 2.2 Published

#### Mediterranean (MED)

Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54.

Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210.

Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176.

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.

Bergmeier E. 2004. Two ways of vegetation classification for the high mountains of Crete: A critical comparison of methods and results. *Annali di Botanica*, n.s. 3: 7-21.

Bergmeier E. 2002. The vegetation of the high mountains of Crete – a revision and multivariate analysis. *Phytocoenologia* 32: 205-249.

Bergmeier E. 1990. Walder und Gebusche des Niederen Olymp (Kato Olimbos, NO-Thessalien). *Phytocoenologia* 18(2/3): 161-342.

Βλάχος Α. 2006. Χλωρίδα Βλάστηση και Οικολογία του ορεινού συγκροτήματος των Βαρδουσιών. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 396.

Βραχνάκης Μ., Φωτιάδης Γ. & Καζόγλου Ι. 2011. Τύποι Οικοτόπων Εθνικού Πάρκου Πρεσπών – Αναγνώριση-Καταγραφή 2011. Εταιρία Προστασίας Πρεσπών, σελ. 101.

Γερασιμίδης Α. & Κοράκης Γ. 2006. Η βλάστηση των ορεινών λιβαδικών περιοχών στο όρος Μιτσικέλι. Πρακτικά 4ου Πανελληνίου Λιβαδοπονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Λιβαδοπονικής Εταιρείας, Βόλος, 10-12 Νοεμβρίου 2004: 183-191.

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

- Δημητρέλλος Ν.Γ. 2005. Γεωβοτανική Έρευνα του Όρους Τυμφρηστού (ΒΔ Στερεά Ελλάδα) Χλωρίδα - Βλάστηση - Αξιολόγηση - Διαχείριση. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 296.
- Δημόπουλος Δ. Π. 1993. Χλωριδική και Φυτοκοινωνιολογική έρευνα του όρους Κυλλίνη - Οικολογική Προσέγγιση. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 370.
- Georgiadis Th. & Dimopoulos P. 1993. Etude de la vegetation supraforestiere du Mont Kyllini (Peloponnese-Grece). Bot. Helv. 103: 149-175.
- Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ., Ξυστράκης Φ., Ελευθεριάδου Ε. & Σαμαράς Δ. 2011. Ζώνες βλάστησης και τύποι οικοτόπων της περιοχής του φορέα διαχείρισης Εθνικού Δρυμού Ολύμπου. Επιστ. Επετ. Σχολής Δασολογίας και Φυσικού Περιβάλλοντος, ΑΠΘ 2002, ΜΕ: σελ. 18 (σε CD).
- Καρέτσος Γ. 2002. Μελέτη της Οικολογίας και της Βλάστησης του Όρους Οίτη. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πάτρα, σελ. 325.
- Κοκμοτός Ε. 2008. Χλωριδική και φυτοκοινωνιολογική μελέτη των ορεινών όγκων της Βοιωτίας (Ελικώνας-Ξεροβούνι-Νεραϊδολάκκωμα). Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 509 + 3 Παραρτήματα.
- Κοράκης Γ. & Αραβίδης Η. 2004. Καταγραφή, ταξινόμηση και αξιολόγηση των φυσικών ενδιαιτημάτων του Λακωνικού Ταυγέτου σύμφωνα με την οδηγία 92/43/ΕΟΚ. Πρακτικά 1ου Πανελληνίου Περιβαλλοντικού Συνεδρίου, Νέα Ορεστιάδα, 7-9 Μαΐου 2004: 891-900.
- Μαρούλης Γ. 2003. Χλωρίδα και βλάστηση των οικοσυστημάτων του όρους Ερύμανθος (ΒΔ Πελοπόννησος). Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 450 + 1 Παράρτημα + 1 Χάρτης.
- Πλατής Π., Παπαχρήστου Θ., Μελιάδης Ι. & Μαντζανάς Κ. 2007. Ποικιλότητα τύπων οικοτόπων της περιοχής Ακαρνανικών ορέων του Δικτύου "Φύση 2000". Πρακτικά 13ου Πανελληνίου Δασολογικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Δασολογικής Εταιρείας, Χλόη Καστοριάς, 7-10 Οκτωβρίου 2007 (τόμος Ι): 116-124.
- Quézel P. 1967. La vegetation des hauts sommets du Pinde et de l'Olympe de Thessale. Vegetatio XIV (1/4): 127-229.
- Quézel P. 1964. Vegetation des hautes montanges de la Grece meridionale. Vegetatio XII (5/6):289-385 + 33 Tables.
- Χοχλίουρος Π.Σ. 2005. Χλωριδική και Φυτοκοινωνιολογική Έρευνα του Όρους Βερμίου - Οικολογική προσέγγιση. Διδακτορική Διατριβή. Πανεπιστήμιο Πατρών, σελ. 352 + 3 Παραρτήματα.
- Xystrakis F., Theodoropoulos K., Eleftheriadou E. & Reif A. 2009. The vegetation of forest and shrubland with creeping *Pinus heldreichii* in "Elikodromio", Olympus National Park, Greece. In: Ivanova, D. (ed.), Plant, fungal, and habitat diversity investigation and conservation. Proceedings of IV Balkan Botanical Congress, Sofia, 20-26 June 2006. Institute of Botany, Sofia, pg. 345-352.

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

## 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km <sup>2</sup> )	4882
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km <sup>2</sup> ) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

## 2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km <sup>2</sup> )	1809,12
2.4.2 Year or period	2000-2012
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.8 Long-term trend period	
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

## 2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	low importance (L)	N/A
grazing (A04)	low importance (L)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Utility and service lines (D02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Structures, buildings in the landscape (E04)	low importance (L)	N/A
Taking / Removal of terrestrial plants, general (F04)	low importance (L)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

## 2.6 Main Threats

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
grazing (A04)	low importance (L)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Structures, buildings in the landscape (E04)	low importance (L)	N/A
Taking / Removal of terrestrial plants, general (F04)	low importance (L)	N/A
abiotic (slow) natural processes (K01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Changes in abiotic conditions (M01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

## 2.7 Complementary Information

### 2.7.1 Species

Acantholimon androsaceum

Acinos alpinus

Allium frigidum

Anchusa cespitosa

Anthemis cretica

Asperula boissieri

Aster alpinus

Astragalus angustifolius

Astragalus creticus

Astragalus sempervirens

Astragalus sirinicus

Astragalus taygeteus

Astragalus thracicus

Asyneuma limonifolium

Campanula spatulata

Centaurea pichleri

Cerastium candidissimum

Cirsium hypopsilum

Daphne oleoides

Draba lacaitae

Eryngium amethystinum

Erysimum pusillum

Festuca polita

Festuca varia

Fumana bonapartei

Galium thymifolium

Genista acanthoclada

Geranium macrostylum

Globularia stygia

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Koeleria lobata

Marrubium cylleneum

Marrubium velutinum

Minuartia attica

Minuartia stellata

Peucedanum vourinense

Poa thessala

Prunus prostrata

Ptilostemon afer

Rindera graeca

Sesleria coerulans

Sesleria vaginalis

Sideritis clandestina

Stipa pennata

Telephium imperati

Thymus leucotrichus (syn:Thymus hirsutus subsp. leucotrichus)

Thymus parnassicus syn:Thymus hirsutus subsp. Parnassicus)

Thymus teucrioides subsp. Alpinus

## 2.7.2 Species method used

Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013).ReferencesChytrý , M., Tichý , L., Holt, J. & Botta-Duká t, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. Journal of Vegetation Science 13: 79–90.Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. Englera 31: 1-367.Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. Journal of Vegetation Science 20: 233-240.

## 2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

## 2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

## 2.7.5 Other relevant information

## 2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

### 2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)  
qualifiers N/A

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.8.2 Area	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

### 3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km <sup>2</sup> )	min	1355,2	max	1355,2
3.1.2 Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)			
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	stable (0)			

### 3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain Long term