

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 3280

NAME: Constantly flowing Mediterranean rivers with Paspalo-Agrostidion species and hanging curtains of Salix and Populus

## 1. National Level

### 1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.2 Distribution Method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2006-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range Map	Yes

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

### 2.2 Published

#### Mediterranean (MED)

Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54.

Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210.

Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176.

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.

Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ., Ελευθεριάδου Ε. & Τσιριπίδης Ι. 2006. Ποικιλότητα τύπων οικολογικών της περιοχής "Στενά Καλαμακίου" του δικτύου "Φύση 2000". Πρακτικά του 4ου Πανελληνίου Λιβαδοπονικού Συνεδρίου της Ελληνικής Λιβαδοπονικής Εταιρείας, Βόλος, 10-12 Νοεμβρίου 2004: 39-49.

Θεοδωρόπουλος Κ. 2001. Ζώνες βλάστησης και τύποι οικολογικών του νομού Θεσσαλονίκης. Επιστ. Επετ. Τμημ. Δασολογίας & Φυσικού Περιβάλλοντος ΜΔ: 353-381.

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

## 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km <sup>2</sup> )	66,51
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km <sup>2</sup> ) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

## 2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km <sup>2</sup> )	45,71
2.4.2 Year or period	2000-2012
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.8 Long-term trend period	
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

## 2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Cultivation (A01)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	low importance (L)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	low importance (L)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	low importance (L)	N/A
introduced genetic material, GMO (I03)	low importance (L)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	medium importance (M)	N/A

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

## 2.5.1 Method used – pressures

mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

## 2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Cultivation (A01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	low importance (L)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	low importance (L)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	low importance (L)	N/A
introduced genetic material, GMO (I03)	low importance (L)	N/A
human induced changes in hydraulic conditions (J02)	low importance (L)	N/A
inundation (natural processes) (L08)	low importance (L)	N/A

## 2.6.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

## 2.7 Complementary Information

### 2.7.1 Species

*Agrostis stolonifera*

*Alisma plantago-aquatica*

*Amaranthus albus*

*Amaranthus retroflexus*

*Artemisia vulgaris*

*Atriplex prostrata*

*Bidens species*

*Bidens tripartitus*

*Centaurium tenuiflorum*

*Dysphania botrys* (syn: *Chenopodium botrys*)

*Dysphania pumilio* (syn: *Chenopodium pumilio*)

*Cyperus fuscus*

*Digitaria sanguinalis*

*Echinochloa crus-galli*

*Eleocharis palustris*

*Equisetum arvense*

*Eragrostis minor*

*Eragrostis pilosa*

*Juncus articulatus*

*Paspalum distichum*

*Persicaria hydropiper*

*Persicaria lapathifolia*

*Persicaria maculosa*

*Poa annua*

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Polygonum aviculare

Portulaca oleracea

Potamogeton nodosus

Pycnus flavescens

Rorippa prolifera

Rorippa sylvestris

Rumex palustris

Scirpoides holoschoenus (syn: Scirpus holoschoenus)

Schoenoplectus lacustris (syn: Scirpus lacustris)

Solanum nigrum

Spergula arvensis

Tragus racemosus

Trifolium fragiferum

Veronica anagallis-aquatica

Xanthium strumarium

## 2.7.2 Species method used

Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013).

### References

Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukát, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13: 79–90.

Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. *Englera* 31: 1-367.

Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 20: 233-240.

## 2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

## 2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

## 2.7.5 Other relevant information

## 2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

### 2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)  
qualifiers N/A

### 2.8.2 Area

assessment Favourable (FV)  
qualifiers N/A

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers stable (=)
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Inadequate (U1)
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	stable (=)

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

### 3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km <sup>2</sup> )	min 44	max 44
3.1.2 Method used	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)	
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	stable (0)	

### 3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Enhance Long term