CODE: 2250

NAME: Coastal dunes with Juniperus spp.

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map

1.1.2 Distribution Method

1.1.3 Year or period

1.1.4 Additional map

1.1.5 Range Map

Yes

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2006-2012

No

Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

2.2 Published

Mediterranean (MED)

Dimopoulos P., Xystrakis F. and Tsiripidis I. 2014. Deliverable A1. Final Catalogue of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 54. Dimopoulos P., Fotiadis G., Tsiripidis I., Panitsa M. and Karadimou E. 2014. Deliverable A2. Report and Literature Database on Habitat Types of Greece – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 210.

Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kasampalis D., Mastrogianni A., Strid A. and Dimopoulos P., 2014. Deliverable A4. Potential Distribution Maps of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, Athens, pages 176.

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Panitsa M., Fotiadis G., Kallimanis A.S. and Kazoglou I. 2014. Deliverable A6. Explanatory Implementation Manual for the Conservation Degree Assessment of Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 35. (with Annexes: I. Habitat types protocols, pages 600; II. Explanatory notes on the habitat types protocols selection, pages 4; III. Correspondence of Habitat types protocols with the clusters of vegetation relevés (excel file).

Dimopoulos P., Tsiripidis I., Xystrakis F., Kallimanis A.S and Panitsa M. 2014. Deliverable A7. Preliminary Analysis of the Field Data for the Habitat Types – 1st edition. Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, OIKOM Ltd - E. Alexandropoulou - A. Glavas, Athens, pages 16.

Babalonas D., Sýkora K.V. & Papastergiadou E. 1995. Review of plant communities from Greek dunes and salt marshes. A preliminary summarizing list. Ann. Bot. (Roma) 53: 107-117.

Γεωργιάδης Θ., Δημητρέλλος Γ., Δημόπουλος Π. & Βασιλάκης Κ. 1994. Μελέτη τη ς οικολογικής κατάστασης της περιβάλλουσας βλάστησης στα πλαίσια της διαχεί ρισης και προστασίας του Δέλτα του Αχέροντα. Πρακτικά 5ου Επιστημονικού Συν εδρίου της Ελληνικής Βοτανικής Εταιρίας, Δελφοί, 21-23 Οκτωβρίου 1994: 111-

Georgiadis Th., Dimopoulos P. & Dimitrellos G. 1997. The flora and vegetation of the Acheron Delta (W Greece) aiming at nature conservation. Phyton 37: 31-60. Pavlides G., Vardavakis E. & Lavrentiades G. 1988. Notes on the vegetation and soil profiles near Polygyros (Chalkidiki peninsula, northern Greece). Israel Journal of Botany 37: 19-47.

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²) 105,71

2.3.2 Range method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.3.3 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.6 Long-term trend period

2.3.7 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.3.9 Favourable reference range area (km²)

operator approximately equal to (\approx)

unkown No

method

2.3.10 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km²)

2.4.2 Year or period 2000-2012

2.4.3 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.4.4 Short-term trend period 2001-2012 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction stable (0)

2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude min max

2.4.8 Long-term trend period

2.4.9 Long-term trend direction N/A

2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude min max

2.4.11 Long term trend method used N/A

2.4.12 Favourable reference area area (km)

operator more than (>)

unknown No

method

2.4.13 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
grazing (A04)	low importance (L)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Structures, buildings in the landscape (E04)	low importance (L)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities (G01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Sport and leisure structures (G02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
grazing (A04)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest exploitation without replanting or natural regrowth (B03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Structures, buildings in the landscape (E04)	low importance (L)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities (G01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Sport and leisure structures (G02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)
2.7 Complementary Information	I
2.7.1 Species	
Juniperus macrocarpa	
Juniperus phoenicea	
Thymbra capitata (syn: Coridothym	us capitatus)
Ephedra foeminea	
Erodium laciniatum	
Helichrysum italicum	
Limonium echioides	
Limonium hyssopifolium	
Lotus creticus	
Lotus halophilus	
Myrtus communis	
Nigella stricta	
Olea europaea subsp. Oleaster	
Ononis natrix	
Phagnalon graecum	
Pistacia lentiscus	
Prasium majus	
Rubia tenuifolia	

2.7.2 Species method used

Typical species were determined on the basis of a vegetation database, comprised of about 22000 sampling plots. First, a list of possible typical species was determined per habitat type, selecting the ones presenting a high fidelity value to the habitat types according the algorithm of Tsiripidis et al. (2009) and the phi coefficient value (Chytrý et al. 2002). Then typical species per habitat type were selected from the above-mentioned lists by expert judgment and using as criteria species niche breadth, their ability to comprise indicators of habitat types' conservation status and their function as keystone species. The

nomenclature of the typical species follows Dimopoulos et al. (2013).ReferencesChytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukát, J. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. Journal of Vegetation Science 13: 79–90.Dimopoulos, P., Raus, Th., Bergmeier, E., Constantinidis, Th., Iatrou, G., Kokkini, S., Strid, A. & Tzanoudakis, D. 2013: Vascular plants of Greece: an annotated checklist. – Berlin: Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin; Athens: Hellenic Botanical Society. Englera 31: 1-367.Tsiripidis, I., Bergmeier, E., Fotiadis, G. & Dimopoulos, P. 2009. A new algorithm for the determination of differential taxa. Journal of Vegetation Science 20: 233-240.

2.7.3 Justification of % -thresholds for trends2.7.4 Structure and functions -methods used

2.7.5 Other relevant information

Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers stable (=)
2.8.3 Specific structures assessment Inadequate (U1)

and functions (incl Species) qualifiers stable (=)

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers stable (=)

2.8.5 Overall assessment of Inadequate (U1)

Conservation Status

2.8.4 Future prospects

2.8.5 Overall trend in

Conservation Status

stable (=)

3. Natura 2000 coverage _conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²) min 10,7 max 10,7

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

3.1.3. Trend of surface area stable (0)

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Restoring coastal areas (4.4)	One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Enhance
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	medium importance (M)	Inside	Enhance Long term
Legal protection of habita and species (6.3)	ts Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Enhance Long term